

Leaked IPCC Reports

The Editors of Monthly Review

On August 9, 2021, the UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) published Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. This was Part I of its Sixth Assessment Report (AR6), written by its Working Group 1, detailing the current state of climate change. Part I is to be followed by two additional parts of the overall AR6 report. Part II, written by Working Group II, on “Impacts,” is scheduled to appear in February 2022. Part III, written by Working Group III, on “Mitigation,” is to appear in March 2022.



Photo by [Agustín Lautaro](#) on [Unsplash](#)

It is a sign of just how serious matters have become—with the UN COP26 talks on climate in Glasgow this November now regarded by many as a last-ditch effort to achieve a global solution on behalf of humanity as a whole—that the early draft versions of both Part II and Part III reports were leaked during the summer. In late June, Part II of AR6 was leaked to the French news agency Agence-France Presse (AFP), which then proceeded to publish an article based on the leaked report ([“Crushing Climate Impacts to Hit Sooner than Feared,”](#) June 23, 2021).

Days before the publication of Part I, the key section of Part III, a [“Summary for Policymakers,”](#) was leaked by scientists associated with Scientist Rebellion and Extinction Rebellion Spain. An article announcing the leak, entitled [“IPCC Sees Degrowth as Key to Mitigating Climate Change,”](#) was published on August 7 by journalist Juan Bordera and ecologist Fernando Prieto in the Spanish online magazine Contexto y Acción (CTXT). The leaked “Summary for Policymakers” for Part III was the draft document accepted by Working Group III, before the various participating governments—which in the IPCC formal adoption process are able to make changes to the “Summary for Policymakers” prior to its publication—could excise key elements of the report and water it down.

"The IPCC Warns that the Capitalism Is Unsustainable"

Near the end of August, there was a second leak from Part III of the Sixth Assessment Report emanating from the same sources—this time of [Chapter One of the third report](#). This leak was announced in an article by Bordera, Prieto, and three others published in CTXT, entitled "[Leaked Report of the IPCC Warns that the Growth Model of Capitalism Is Unsustainable](#)" (MR Online, 23 August 2021).

The published Part I, the Physical Science Basis report, contained few revelations for those following climate science, but

In the most optimistic projection, the consequences for global humanity would be catastrophic by the measure of all historical precedents. The second scenario is a sort of last hope and carries with it dangers disproportionately greater. The other three scenarios are almost unthinkable, although more consistent with current trends, threatening the very existence of civilisation and humanity itself.

provided greater certainty and concreteness in its results. What was most significant about the report was that it revealed that, even in the case of SSP1-1,9, the most optimistic projection of the Shared Socioeconomic Pathways—in which carbon emissions globally peak in the next four years, a 1,5°C increase in global average temperature over preindustrial levels would be avoided until 2040,

and the goal of net-zero carbon emissions would be reached by 2050—the consequences for global humanity would nonetheless be catastrophic by the measure of all historical precedents. This would include various “compound” events of extreme weather sometimes known as global weirding (including heavy precipitation, record floods, heatwaves, droughts, monsoon breakdowns, mega-storms, glacier melts, and sea-level rise) affecting every region and ecosystem of the world. The second scenario (also optimistic), SSP1-2,6—in which the increase in global average temperature would remain slightly below a 2°C increase, in the “best estimate” for 2081–2100—is a sort of last hope and carries with it dangers disproportionately greater. The other three scenarios are almost unthinkable, although more consistent with current trends, threatening the very existence of civilisation and humanity itself.

Under the most optimistic scenario, or SSP1-1.9, in which 1.5°C is not reached until 2040, it is estimated that the

Under the most optimistic scenario, the best that can be hoped for at this point is that the ultimate threat to humanity will be held off. Yet some of the negative effects of climate change, posing dire threats to billions of people, will nevertheless continue to play out over the twenty-first century.... The fifth and most apocalyptic scenario, resulting from the unhindered continuation of capitalist “business as usual”, embodies an absolute catastrophe for humanity and innumerable species on the planet.

midterm (2041–2060) increase in global average temperature will remain in the 1,2–2°C range, with the best estimate 1,6°C, and then, near the end of the century (2081–2100), will fall back to 1,4°C due to the implementation of negative emissions technologies. Yet, what is important to understand is that even in this highly optimistic scenario (SSP1-1,9) extreme heat and heavy rainfall will be far more frequent. Sea level rise will be irreversible over centuries and maybe

millennia. Ocean acidification will increase, carrying its own dangers. The best that can be hoped for at this point, therefore, is that the ultimate threat to humanity will be held off, and by the end of the century global average temperature could be reduced below 1,5°C again. Yet, even then, some of the negative effects of climate change, posing dire threats to billions of people, will nevertheless continue to play out over the twenty-first century. In the case of SSP5-8,5, the fifth and most apocalyptic scenario, resulting from the unhindered continuation of capitalist “business as usual,” global average temperature is projected to increase by the end of the century by 3,3–5,7°C, with the best estimate at 4,4°C, spelling absolute catastrophe for humanity and innumerable species on the planet.

The leaking to AFP of sections of Part II of the Sixth Assessment Report, on “Impacts,” written by Working Group II, has led to a number of statements from Part II of the report being quoted and becoming public knowledge. According to AFP, the report “warns that previous major climate shocks dramatically altered the environment and wiped out most species, raising the question of whether humanity is sowing the seeds of its own demise. [In the words of the report itself:] ‘Life on Earth can recover from a drastic climate shift by evolving into new species and creating new ecosystems. Humans cannot.’”

The leaked Part II concludes: “We need transformational change operating on processes and behaviours at all levels: individual, communities, business, institutions and governments. We must redefine our way of life and consumption.”

“Life on Earth can recover from a drastic climate shift by evolving into new species and creating new ecosystems. Humans cannot.”

However, it is Part III on “Mitigation” of the latest IPCC report (AR6) that offers the biggest surprises. On August 7, CTXT indicated in the article by Bordera and Prieto that it had obtained a leaked draft copy of the “Summary for Policymakers” of Part III, accepted by Working Group III, from which a number of key passages were quoted in the article (see also: [“We Leaked the Upcoming IPCC Report!”](#) Scientist Rebellion. Other parts of the leaked “Summary for Policymakers” but not quoted in that article are equally significant and demand close consideration. One key finding of the Part III report is that no new net addition to current coal or gas plants can be built and that existing ones need to be eliminated within a decade if the below-1,5°C target is to be met.

One key finding of the Part III report is that no new net addition to current coal or gas plants can be built and that existing ones need to be eliminated within a decade if the below-1,5°C target is to be met.

In many ways, the most important part of the “Summary for Policymakers” in the leaked Part III is its insistence that technological improvements that allow for relative decarbonisation are not enough. Rather, what is required is a massive social transition in material production and consumption. Although stabilising the climate below 1,5°C necessitates some carbon dioxide removal (CDR), there is no mere technological fix to the climate change problem. Attempts to intervene massively in the climate by technological means to counteract the effect of carbon emissions carry with them their own extraordinary threats to the planet as a safe space for humanity.

The most important part is its insistence that technological improvements that allow for relative decarbonisation are not enough.... Attempts to intervene massively in the climate by technological means to counteract the effect of carbon emissions carry with them their own extraordinary threats to the planet as a safe space for humanity.

At present, solar and wind technology only account for 7 per cent of world energy use, with fossil fuels making up most of the rest. Although solar and wind expansion is to be encouraged, the economic entrenchment of fossil fuel technology has inhibited any real rapid progress. Incremental decarbonisation strategies favoured by corporations have resulted overall in relative decoupling but not absolute decoupling of emissions due to economic growth, with total carbon emissions rising even as the carbon input of production has declined. The biological or nature-based CDR approaches, such as afforestation/reforestation, ecosystems restoration, and soil management, are those that offer the most hope in the immediate future. In contrast, the potential for direct air capture and carbon storage, enhanced mineral weathering, ocean alkalinity enhancement, and bioenergy and carbon capture and storage are all limited by lack of research and development, high cost, and potentially dangerous consequences. Nuclear energy poses too many

“The scale-up, diffusion and global spread of carbon capture and storage (CCS), nuclear energy, and carbon removal (CDR) technologies” are questionable and cannot play the primary role in climate change mitigation.”

obstacles and hazards to be an important part of the solution. In general, “the scale-up, diffusion and global spread of carbon capture and storage (CCS), nuclear energy, and carbon removal (CDR) technologies” are questionable and cannot play the primary role in climate change mitigation.

Thus, the overall thrust of the AR6 “Mitigation” (or Part III) report is—for the first time in the IPCC process—that it is

“The overall thrust is –for the first time in the IPCC process— that it is essential to turn to demand-side strategies, exploring cutbacks in energy use and across all economic sectors, as well as aggressively pursuing conservation and low-energy paths.... “final energy demand is 40% lower in 2050 than in 2018, while wellbeing is maintained and improved.” What is required “is fundamental structural changes at the global level” in production and consumption systems.

essential to turn to demand-side strategies, exploring cutbacks in energy use and across all economic sectors, as well as aggressively pursuing conservation and low-energy paths. Cities need to be redesigned to become “new cities” constructed on an entirely different sustainable basis. Transportation has to move away from sports-utility vehicles and other gas guzzlers, and shifted toward mass transportation, electrified cars, bikes, tuk-tuks (auto rickshaws), and motorbikes. Heavy meat-based diets have to be

curtailed. Plastic production needs to be drastically reduced. “In low-energy demand scenarios,” the report states, “final energy demand is 40% lower in 2050 than in 2018, while wellbeing is maintained and improved.” What is required “is fundamental structural changes at the global level” (and at every other level) in production and consumption systems. Accelerated climate-change transitions require a shift to entirely new systems of sustainable development.

“Transformative change” must replace incremental changes favoured by the status quo.

The Part III “Summary for Policymakers” places a strong emphasis on climate injustice as a central aspect of the whole

“The Summary places a strong emphasis on climate injustice as a central aspect of the whole climate problem... “climate emissions should be on a consumption rather than production basis.”

climate problem. Thus, the report emphasises that “the top 10% of emitters (the global wealthy on a per capita basis) contribute ten times as much to global emissions as the poorest 10%.” Specifically, “the global richest 10% contribute about 36–45% of global GHG

[greenhouse gas] emissions, while the world’s poorest 10% contribute around 3–5%.” As much as “46 per cent of developing country CO₂ emissions in 2010 and 41% in 2015 were from export production to developed countries,” indicating that accounting for climate emissions should be on a consumption rather than production basis. Half of all aviation emissions stem from the wealthiest 1 per cent.

At every point, the “Summary for Policymakers” in Part III indicates that the “just transition concept,” initially promoted

““Lack of integration of environmental justice in climate mitigation activities...risks growing inequality at all levels” and inhibits “effective climate mitigation,” which requires a just transition... Climate strikes have given voice to youth in more than 180 countries.”

by labour unions, must be incorporated into climate change policy. “Lack of integration of environmental justice in climate mitigation activities...risks growing inequality at all levels” and inhibits “effective climate mitigation,” which requires a just transition that ensures that “workers, frontline communities and the

vulnerable are not left behind in low-carbon pathways.” Even more startlingly, the report declares: “Increasing participation by women, and racialised and marginalised groups, amplifies the impetus for climate action. Collective action through formal social movements and informal lifestyle movements expands the potential for climate policy and supports system change (high confidence). Climate strikes have given voice to youth in more than 180 countries.”

The leaked Chapter One of Part III of AR6 is primarily a review of the recent literature on mitigation. However, it is

“The character of social and economic development produced by the nature of capitalist society” is viewed by many political-economic critics “as ultimately unsustainable”... There is simply no hope for the world unless people everywhere are determined to surmount the main barrier to human survival: the barrier of capital itself.

notable, in comparison to earlier IPCC reports, in citing a number of critics on the left, including Jason Hickel, Andrew Jorgenson, and Andreas Malm, calling attention to fundamental issues of political economy and power, such as the dominance of fossil capital, the reality of global unequal exchange, and the rationality of degrowth. As the report indicates at one point, referring to the analysis of Malm and others: “The character of social and economic development

produced by the nature of capitalist society” is viewed by many political-economic critics “as ultimately unsustainable.” Indeed, a close, critical reading of the leaked Chapter One leaves little doubt that radical system change is now the only remaining path to a sustainable future for humanity. As UN secretary-general António Guterres exclaimed in a statement accompanying the release of the new IPCC report, this is “a code red for humanity.” There is simply no hope for the world unless people everywhere are determined to surmount the main barrier to human survival: the barrier of capital itself.

Related links:

- The Jus Semper Global Alliance
- Monthly Review
- John Bellamy Foster and Brett Clark: [The Expropriation of Nature](#)
- John Bellamy Foster, Brett Clark and Hannah Holleman: [Capitalism and Robbery](#)
- John Bellamy Foster: [The Planetary Rift](#)
- John Bellamy Foster: [Against Doomsday Scenarios: What Is to Be Done Now?](#)
- Álvaro J. de Regil: [Transitioning to Geocratia the People and Planet and Not the Market Paradigm — First Steps](#)
- Álvaro J. de Regil: [The Fourth Industrial Revolution, the Great Reset and the End of Life as We Know it](#)
- Álvaro J. de Regil: [Marketocracy and the Capture of People and Planet](#)
- Erald Kolasi: [Energy, Economic Growth, and Ecological Crisis](#)
- Gustavo Hernández and Henkjan Laats: [Buen Vivir: A Concept on the Rise in Europe?](#)
- Paul Burkett: [An Eco-Revolutionary Tipping Point?](#)
- Víctor M. Toledo: [What are we saying when we talk about sustainability?](#)

- ❖ **About Jus Semper:** The Jus Semper Global Alliance aims to contribute to achieving a sustainable ethos of social justice in the world, where all communities live in truly democratic environments that provide full enjoyment of human rights and sustainable living standards in accordance with human dignity. To accomplish this, it contributes to the liberalisation of the democratic institutions of society that have been captured by the owners of the market. With that purpose, it is devoted to research and analysis to provoke the awareness and critical thinking to generate ideas for a transformative vision to materialise the truly democratic and sustainable paradigm of People and Planet and NOT of the market.
- ❖ **About the authors: Editors of Monthly Review Magazine:** John Bellamy Foster is the editor of MR and a professor of sociology at the University of Oregon. He has written extensively on political economy, ecology, and Marxism. Brett Clark is the associate editor of MR and a professor of sociology at the University of Utah. Camila Valle is assistant editor and Jamil Jonna, is associate editor for communications & production.
- ❖ **About this Brief:** Leaked IPCC Reports was originally published in English by Monthly Review Magazine in October 2021. This paper has been published under Creative Commons, CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0. You are welcome to reproduce the material for non-commercial use, crediting the authors and the original publisher.
- ❖ **Quote this paper as:** Editors of Monthly Review: Leaked IPCC Reports — The Jus Semper Global Alliance, January 2022.
- ❖ **Tags:** Capitalism, Climate Change, Ecology, Political Economy.
- ❖ The responsibility for opinions expressed in this work rests only with the author(s), and its publication does not necessarily constitute an endorsement by The Jus Semper Global Alliance.



Under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

© 2022. The Jus Semper Global Alliance
Portal on the net: <https://www.jussemper.org/>
e-mail: informa@jussemper.org